

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/587,453  | 01/30/2007  | Francois Reniers     | 293545US0PCT        | 2033             |
| 22850 7590 06/28/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAYEKAR, KISHOR     |                  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 1795                | <del>-</del>     |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 06/28/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/587,453  | RENIERS, FRANCOIS   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Kishor Mayekar  | 1795  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO<br>(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti-<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror<br>(5), cause the application to become ABANDON | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A   | pril 2010.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| · · · = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | s action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the p |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-3, 7-9 and 11-20</i> is/are pending in  | the application.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | • •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-3, 7-9 and 11-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | xaminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | ı)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Burea  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not receiv  | ed.   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summar   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date .   | 6) Other:   | • •   |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Response to Amendment**

1. The amendment of 20 April 2010 has been entered. Claims 1, 3 and 7-9 have been amended and claims 4-6 and 10 have been cancelled. New claims 11-20 have been introduced. Claims 1-3, 7-9 and 11-20 are pending in this application with claim 1 being sole independent claim.

## Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: the organochlorine substance in the liquid or solid state.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to

consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent

form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Currently, the following claim(s) is generic: none

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the

following manner:

Claims 1-3, 7-9 and 11-20.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to

one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single

general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group

of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity

of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among

those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special

technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those

technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed

inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a

single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the

Art Unit: 1795

inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification

of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if

Application/Control Number: 10/587,453

Art Unit: 1795

the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

Page 5

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the

other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone

number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-

Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.